•

9 EDDIE JAMES THOMAS, JR.,

10 Petitioner,

VS.

12 JAMES BENEDETTI, et al.,

Respondents.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. 3:09-CV-00455-HDM-(RAM)

## ORDER

The Court directed Petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed because he did not exhaust his available state-court remedies for all of his grounds. Order (#3). Petitioner has submitted a Motion to Stay (#6), asking the Court to stay the action while he returns to state court to exhaust his remedies there; Petitioner does not dispute the Court's finding that he has not exhausted all of his grounds. There are various methods by which the Court can stay a mixed petition, preserving the grounds that are exhausted while a person returns to state court to litigate the unexhausted grounds. <a href="See">See</a>, e.g., <a href="Rhines v.">Rhines v.</a></a> Weber, 544 U.S. 269 (2005); <a href="King v. Ryan">King v. Ryan</a>, 564 F.3d 1133 (9th Cir. 2009). However, in Petitioner's case, all of his grounds are unexhausted. There is nothing left to stay while Petitioner

## 

returns to state court. Under the circumstances, dismissal without prejudice is the appropriate action for the Court to take. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion to Stay (#6) is **DENIED**. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for Petitioner's failure to exhaust his available state-court remedies. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly. DATED: November 30, 2009. Howard DM: Killen HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN United States District Judge